10-23 (June 1941) UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
NATIONAL PARK SERVICE

392/D-186

FSNM NATIONAL PARK

FILE NO. 845

Fort Sumter: April 12, 1861

Due

Prepared by:

Frank Barnes Historian Fort Sumter National Monument February 21, 1950

IMPORTANT

This file constitutes a part of the official records of the National Park Service and should not be separated or papers withdrawn without express authority of the official in charge. All Files should be returned promptly to the File Room. Officials and employees will be held responsible for failure to observe these rules, which are necessary to protect the integrity of the official records.

NEWTON B. DRURY,

The second secon

UNITED STATES

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

NATIONAL PARK SERVICE

Fort Sumter National Monument Chamber of Commerce Building 50 Broad Street Charleston, South Carolina

February 23, 1950

Memorandum

To:

Regional Director

From:

Historian

Subject: Reports on Fort Sumter, 1860 and 1861

A "second look" at the Historian's initial research reports on Fort Sumter, December 26, 1860 and April 12, 1861, indicates that there are a few minor errors therin which should be corrected; also, a few things which should be clarified.

1860 Report:

- (1) (page 5, line 1) Sentence reading "Traverse circles had been laid on both faces . . . " This was intended to mean on the first tier only; thus "first tier," should be included after "both faces,". It follows that the "concrete foundations" referred to in line 7 pertain only to the first tier.
- (2) (page 15, line 6). Likewise, sentence reading "Traverse circles (dimensions above) had been laid; . . . " Insert "(On first tier, and in one second—tier casemate)".
- (3) (page 6, last three lines). Sentence reading "All first tier embrasures had been provided with double 6" wooden shutters, one on the outside, and one fitting close to the inside of the throat, both linked together." Closer examination of letter, Lieuts. Seymour and Snyder to Major Anderson, 24 March, 1861, in Volume I, Series I, Official Records, Army; pp. 213-5, convinces Historian that the "double shutter" arrangement was adopted for "guns for instant service" between December, 1860 and April, 1861, and that the original arrangement for all 41 embrasures of the first casemate tier was single 6" wooden shutters "secured with a wooden brace and rope lashing." These were probably placed next the throats of the embrasure on the outside. More exact information is not available.
- (4) (First floor plan, Gorge). To the left of the Sallyport passageway the three kitchens (which are, of course, duplicated on the right side of the Gorge), indicated as 18' wide

should be 17' wide, as drawn. Likewise, the guardroom and kitchen immediately to the right of the sally-port passageway. The vertical parlor dimension should be 19' 6" rather than 20'. These (revised) widths represent the distance between plastered lathings (airspace) rather than the distances between "naked" walls, which is the norm used in the "front" room dimensions, Gorge, as well as in barracks (unless otherwise marked). The piers between all these rooms, where indicated as 7', actually include 6" of lathing or airspace on each side, so that the masonry piers themselves actually are 6' wide. However, as drawn, the 7' dimension should stand.

The space at each side of the pier between the kitchen and parlor at the right of the left magazine, indicated as $2\frac{1}{2}$, should actually be $1\frac{1}{2}$, as drawn. Similarly, the space next the pier between that parlor and the kitchen at its right, marked 2', should be $1\frac{1}{2}$, as drawn. Similarly, the space marked 2' next the pier next right of that one. The outer opening of the Sally-port should be 11' (estimated) instead of 10'. The Historian was misled in these figures by contradictory interior measurements, and lathing (airspace) partitions.

1861 Report:

- (1) (page two, line 13): "two 42-pounders" (Right Flank) should read "four 42-pounders." This was an error either of copying or typing and makes no difference in the total number of guns (60).
- (2) After "iron plate," on line 11, page 3, add: "The remaining 16 'instant service' guns had their embrasures closed by an inner 6-inch wood shutter fitting close to the throat, in addition to the outer (original) shutter; the two shutters were linked and tightened together by an iron key."
- (3) Footnote 4 reference to Official Records should read "215" rather than "225".

Frank Barnes Historian

FOR CULTURE April 12, 1861

April 12, 1861 were primarily concerned with preparations for the expected attack. Thus, the Ungineer, Japtain J. C. Foster, wrote of the period immediately following the occupation: "The regular work was then stopped, and all the remaining force was at once put to work mounting guns . . . and otherwise preparing to meet and repulse any attack that the forces of the State might make upon use". A policy of "first things first" probably was dictated, in addition, by threatened shortages of construction material (a shortage which became an actuatity long before April) and a dwindling labor force.

Accordingly, the barracks remained unlinished, the task of flagging the casemate floors was carried no further, and the eight-foot-square openings in the scarp of the second tier were closed with three feet of brick rather than shaped into gun embracures. The small size of the garrison was an additional factor here; with a strength of but sixty-five men, the manning of another tier of guns was not feasible; the openings, meanwhile, must be closed. The brick-fill in twenty-seven of the openings

^{1.} Official Records, I, 173 & Crawford, J. G., Genesis of the Civil War: (New York, 1867), p. 200; O. L. Spaulding, Wr., "The Combardment of Fort Sumter, 1861", in Annual Report of the American Historical Association for 1915, I, 190

was backed by two foot of sand kept in place by a sheathing of boards or by barrels, in eight others by two foot of flagging-stones laid dry, in the remaining six by dry brick or piles of "finishing-stuff" and flooring boards.

Furing the period 50 guns were mounted on the first cases at and herbette tiers of the fort; in addition, five more in the parade as mortars, and three more about the cally-port. Thus the armoment of Port Sunter at the time of the initial attack was 60, distributed as follows:

First tier: Right Clank, one 42-pounder, four 32-pounders; Left Clank, five 52-pounders; Right Cace, three 62-pounders, Left Cace, ten 52-pounders; Corge (angles), two 52-pounders.

biads, two 42-pounders; Left flank, one 10-inch columns of two 42-pounders; Left flank, one 10-inch columns of two 42-pounders; light face, none; Left face, three 3-inch sencoast howitzers, one 32-pounder; Gorge, one 8-inch see-coast howitzer, two 32-pounders, six 24-pounders.

^{2.} Official Records, I. 214. Howhere are there state enterents to be found that the barrocks were "finished", or that the indivious details of that "finish" were completed; similarly, the casemate flagging. While this is but adjative proof, it is believed quite valid, in view of the numerous (and thus-concuming) "defensive" arrangements that were completed in this period, and the statement "regular work was then stopped." In the instance of casemate flagging, there is positive information that the flagging was put to other uses.

^{5.} Official locards, I, 18-19. This seems to be the final, and therefore most accurate sabulation of fort lumber arrangement as of April 18, 1861, (even more measure than the arrangement about in eketch on page 225). It should be noted that at the top of page 19, Capt. Foster's report shows an error in addition. Thus, he claims, 21 juns in casemate tier, making a total of 48 in both tiers, but when the juns listed in casemate tier are added, they came to a total of 25, thus making a two-tier total of 52. Fourteen juns had been mounted (percanently) prior to occupation of the fort in December 26, 1860 (see 1860 Report).

Farade-ground: one 10" columniad, near the right shoulder angle, four 8" columniade below the Salient and roughly on a line with the Shoulder Angles.

Sally-port: Three 8" Howitzers (see below).

Not all of those guns on the first tier were "immediately available": nine of the embrasures behind which guns were mounted, were closed (in addition to the outer shutter) either by stone flagging, notched to fit the threat and laid flat, or by bricks laid in mortar, as indicated in the "final" plan of March 27, 1861. Two of those first tier guns ready for "instant service" were closed by iron shutters (rather than wood) of }inch iron plate. In several instances special steps were taken to increase the coverage of the guas mounted. Thus, the parapet at the left gorge angle was cut away so that the 24-counder mounted at that point sould depress 18 degrees, sufficient for firing on the end of the wharf. On the first tier. the scarp wall between the pan-coupe of the right gorge angle and the embresure of the next casemate north (marked X on the first-floor plan 1860) was out away so as to allow the 32-pounder mounted in that casemate to bear upon Cummings Foint; similarly, the wall at the other side of the pan-coupe (west), to allow the 52-pounder in the casemate adjacent (west) to bear upon at least portion of the loigh (marked Y or fires-floor plan, 1860).

Special defensive preparations made during the period:

(1) "Machicouli" plantes of la" plant (five lined with i-fach iron plate) placed on parapet, one at the center of each face and flank, and three

X

^{4.} Official Records, I, 19, 225; for picture of manner of closing embrasure, see Crawford, op cit, 135.

^{5.} Official Records, I, 19.

on the gorge "over and commanding the main postern." These projected three or four feet from the parapet, were prepared for musketry, and had trap-doors for the dropping of shells on an assaulting force.

- (2) "Thunder-barrels" containing fragments of rock in which a loaded shell was embedded, were placed at intervals along the parpapets, near the Eschicouli galleries, for use in case of assault. Similarly, fragments of brick and stone suitable as missiles, and 225 shells, mostly 8-inch, to be used as granades. 23 (emergency) flights of wooden stops from terreplain to top of parapet were constructed.
- (5) Large traverse, consisting of a double curb of boards and scantling filled with earth hoisted from the parade, erected at right choulder angle to protect guns of right flank from Sullivan's Island batteries. This was strengthened by sand-bags at top and sides and braced in the rear by extra gun carriages.
- (4) Stone and brick wall laid in cement built against the outer main gate to within four feet of the lintel. It was three feet six inches wide, and six feet high; through it was a manhole one foot eleven inches wide. Looking through that manhole was an 8-inch seasonst howitzer mounted inside on a casemate corriage. One leaf of the two-part wooden gate was finally bolted shut; the other could be either opened or securely shut, and

7. Official Records, I, 214-5; Crawford, S. G., Genesis of the Civil War, p. 154.

8. Official Records, I, 16-17; Spaulding, op cit.

^{6.} Official Teords, I, 214; Spending, C. L., Jr., The Romberdment of Fort Sumter 1861, in Annual Report of the American Historical American for the Year 1911, I, 190; Abner Rombleday in his Reminiscences of Brian Sumter and Bultris (New York, 1876), p. 87, says these galleries were also run out over the angles of the work. For picture of galleries projecting over sorge, see Photographic History, IX, and Gilmere, Engineer and Artillary Operations and plates between pp. 64-65.

manhole closed by a door. The outside of the gate and the inside of the small door were covered with 1-inch iron plate. On the espandance, at each side of the main gate, two 3-inch howitzers were mounted so as to sweep the garge and the approaches to it.

- (5) Doors of the two postern gates in the garge angles strengthened by three-foot brick walls laid in mortar, on the outside.
- (6) Two "fougasses" of 12 feet diameter, charged with 50 pounds of powder, were placed against the foot of the scarp, one in the centre of each half of the garge. 8-foot high wooden fence erected at each end of esplanade, extending from scarp to low water.
- through "so as to admit a free communication through them . . . from one flank to the other." There are no exact references to be found as to just where these openings were made or their appearance when completed. Presumably, the innermost wall of the innermost storeroom on each end, both floors, was the wall affected in each case, since an exit from the outer storeroom to the flank in each instance already existed, and likewise communication between the two storerooms. It seems most probable

^{9.} Official Records, I, 215-6 (this also has a sketch); see also, sketches in Exper's Illustrate Mackly, V, CO, 161 (copies in possession of Fort Sunter National Membert).

¹⁰ official lecords, I, 205.

^{12.} Gifficial records 1, 17

that the wall between the end magazines and the adjoining flanks was not disturbed for this purpose.

- side of the imperment ("in" from the western and) kitchens on the western (left) half of the sorge (and to the immediate left of the cally-port passageway) were prepared as a hospital and a "splinder-proof traverse" of sand and brick with stone revetment was erected immediately outside on the parade-ground.
- (9) Two rooms (divided by hallway and staircase) to the immediate right of the western (left) storerooms on the garge were prepared as "ordnance rooms," presumably storerooms additional to those already available. Another "splinter-proof traverse" was erected on the parade as protection for the ordnance rooms and storerooms adjacent.
- (10) Three splinter-proof shelters erected on right flank borbette tier. These shelters were formed of the timbers of (extra) gun carriages inclined against "the interior plope" (evidently of the parapet) and covered with two-inch embrasure ixons securely spiked down.
- (11) Parade was cleared of temporary buildings and flagstones, and the latter were mounted endwise against the sides of the barracks and in the ends of the lower casenates on the two faces. The lantern from the light-house was counted on wooden treatles in the middle of the parade-ground, and 18. Frawer 36. Sheet 82 (reproduced in Official Records, I. 285); Official Records, I. 285]; Official Records, I. 285]; Official Records, I. 285]; Official Rec

14. Framer 60, Sheet 62 (reproduced in Official Records, I, 225); Official Records, I, 217; Ibotographic History, IX, 48.

15. Official Records, I. 17.

north and east of this structure were two shell-bins. Just to the left (west) of the lighthouse lantern were thirteen 32-pounders mounted on skids. "Splinter-proof traverses" were constructed about the Columbiads mounted in the parade as mortars.

- the 2nd story, the 34 windows and six magazine ventilators, with two wrought-iron embrasure jams, eight inches thick and $3\frac{1}{2}$ feet long, permitting of masket fire over them; in the first story, the seven doors, with five-inch wooden shutters against which, outside, were built 9-inch brick walls laid in cement, outside this a pintle stone 8° x 2° 2° x 1° 5° with pieces of flagging fastened in with wooden wedges and salted lead; the six magazine ventilators, by large stones and lead against the wall and shutter; the fifteen windows, by pintle stones and flagging, fastened with wedges and lead. In all these openings, the filling was placed against the offset at the throat, by which a solid wall 2° thick and well secured in the rear was obtained.
- (15) One embrasure on the left flank, first tier, was enlarged, to permit the entrance of barrels from supply ships. Which embrasure, and exactly how, are unsolved questions.

^{16.} Drawer 66, Shoet 82 (Official Records, I, 225); Photographic History, 40-45. Official Records, I, 215

^{17.} Official Records, I, 216; for photos, see Hiotographic History, IX, 45, also Gillmore, Engineer and Artiller Operations. . . plates between pp 64-65.

^{18.} Official Records, I, 17.

(1A) The stone rip-rep at the foot of the casemate walls, was removed to a depth of four or five foot more, to guard against ascoult.

19. Cpaulding, C. L. Jr., op cit.

Report prepared by:

Frank Barnes Historian Fort Suster National Homesont November 25, 1949